

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms NC QazaqGaz and Subsidiaries at 'BB+'; Outlook Stable

Tue 04 Feb, 2025 - 4:45 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 04 Feb 2025: Fitch Ratings has affirmed JSC National Company QazaqGaz's (QG) Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings at 'BB+' with Stable Outlook. Fitch has also affirmed the Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDRs of QG's fully owned subsidiaries, Intergas Central Asia JSC (ICA) and QAZAQGAZ AIMAQ JSC (QGA) at 'BB+' with Stable Outlooks.

QG is rated two notches below the sovereign rating of Kazakhstan (BBB/Stable), in line with Fitch's Government-Related Entities (GRE) Rating Criteria. QG's support score is 32.5, which underlines 'Very Likely' support from the state, based on the criteria definitions, while its Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) is 'b'. QG's SCP is supported by the company's monopolistic position in natural gas transit, transportation and distribution in Kazakhstan, but constrained by low profitability of domestic gas sales and reliance on dividends from joint ventures (JVs).

ICA and QGA have strong linkage with QG, hence warranting the equalisation of their ratings at 'BB+'.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Tariffs to Increase: The government of Kazakhstan is set to amend the wholesale price limits for commercial gas. Starting from July 2025, the wholesale price limits will increase 33% annually over three years. The resultant positive impact of the higher tariffs on QG's profitability is partly tempered by the need to import increasing volumes of more expensive natural gas to meet growing domestic consumption.

High Dividends from AGP: Asia Gas Pipeline LLP (AGP), QG's largest joint venture with China National Petroleum Corporation (A+/Negative), manages Kazakhstan's largest

segment of the Central Asia-China pipeline. Having cleared its financial debt, AGP is expected to generate around KZT500 billion in annual free cash flow (FCF) before dividends between 2024 and 2026. We anticipate that QG will receive at least KZT250 billion in dividends from AGP each year, which should bolster QG's cash flow generation.

Dividends to Reduce Leverage: The dependence on dividends from AGP indicates that the quality and diversity of the group's cash flow are partly constrained. The dividends from AGP are the primary factor contributing to the forecast reduction in QG's EBITDA gross leverage, decreasing to an average 1.8x for 2024-2027, from 13.3x in 2023, which is beneficial for QG's financial standing.

Investments Continue: QG's main investment projects include a series of upstream and downstream initiatives aimed at expanding its resource base and enhancing Kazakhstan's gas infrastructure. Key projects feature the construction of new gas processing plants at the Kashagan field, with phases projected to complete between 2026 and 2030. It is also involved in the development of a carbamide plant and an LNG processing facility. Further, QG is investing in major gas transportation projects, such as the Beineu-Bozoi-Shymkent gas pipeline expansion and regional gasification.

Responsibility to Support: We view the state's influence on QG's decision-making and oversight as 'Very Strong', given its full control by the government and its role in implementing the government's energy policies. Although the state is contemplating selling a minority share of QG through an IPO, we believe that the government will maintain strong links with the group. However, we do not give QG any scores for precedents of support as state support has been irregular.

Incentives to Support: We assess QG's preservation of government policy role as 'Strong', given its important role in the government's energy strategy and its status as the main domestic supplier of natural gas. We view its contagion risk as 'Strong' as QG is present in the eurobond market and its default could affect the ability of Kazakhstan and other GREs to borrow on international markets.

Legal Incentive for Subsidiaries Support: We view the legal incentives to support QG subsidiaries under our Parent and Subsidiary Linkage (PSL) Rating Criteria as 'High' since QG guarantees most of ICA's and QGA's external debt. ICA's debt is subject to a cross-default provision under QG's eurobond. Strategic incentives are 'High' for ICA and 'Medium' for QGA.

Operating Incentive for Subsidiaries Support: ICA is the operator of trunk gas pipelines in Kazakhstan for transporting gas domestically and internationally, and accounts for the majority of QG's EBITDA. QGA is a domestic operator of gas distribution networks. Operating incentives are 'High' for both subsidiaries, due to a fully integrated management strategy, as well as common planning and budgeting.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

QG's closest peers are JSC National Company KazMunayGas (KMG, BBB/Stable, SCP: bb) and Kazakhstan Electricity Grid Operating Company (KEGOC, BBB/Stable, SCP: bbb-).

KMG's IDR is equalised with the sovereign's, given its higher SCP and overall strong linkage with the sovereign. KMG's 'bb' SCP reflects its sizeable hydrocarbon production (though a significant part of it is coming from JVs); integration into midstream and downstream activities; and moderate financial leverage. KMG's scale is significantly larger than that of QG.

KEGOC's IDR is derived from its SCP plus a one-notch uplift for strong links with the state. KEGOC's 'bbb-' SCP reflects a stronger financial profile and improvements in the regulatory framework following market reform introduced in June 2023. The SCP benefits from KEGOC's monopoly position, long-term tariffs that add visibility to cash flow generation, and its large size compared with local peers'.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

- Brent crude price at USD70/bbl in 2025, and USD65/bbl in 2026 and 2027
- Increasing gas imports from Russia; gas exports to China at around 5bcm per annum to 2027
- Increasing gas transit from Russia to Uzbekistan partially offsets practically discontinued gas transit from central Asia to Russia
- Increasing domestic gas tariffs insufficient to cover all input costs, including of purchased and imported gas
- Capex at KZT231 billion a year in 2025 and 2026, and KZT64 billion in 2027
- Dividends from JVs at KZT250 billion a year over 2025-2027

RATING SENSITIVITIES

QG

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- A sovereign downgrade
- Weaker ties between Kazakhstan and QG
- Further material deterioration of QG's SCP, for example, driven by lower-than-expected dividends from its JVs or materially deteriorating standalone liquidity

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- A sovereign upgrade
- Stronger ties between Kazakhstan and QG
- Significant improvement in QG's SCP, driven by higher domestic tariffs that comfortably cover input costs, or reduced import needs

QGA

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- Negative rating action on QG
- Weaker ties with QG

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- Positive rating action on QG

ICA

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- Negative rating action on QG

- Weaker ties with QG

Factors That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- Positive rating action on QG

For Kazakhstan's rating sensitivities, see the rating action commentary published on 15 November 2024 on www.fitchratings.com.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

QG's cash and cash equivalents amounted to about KZT360 billion at end-June 2024, versus about KZT56 billion of total maturities in 2024 and 2025. Its largest maturity is a USD706 million Eurobond maturing in 2027. QG and its subsidiaries have a record of good access to Kazakh banks.

ISSUER PROFILE

QG is a natural monopoly in natural gas transit, transportation and distribution in Kazakhstan. It manages centralised infrastructure for transporting commercial gas, provides international transit, sells gas on domestic and foreign markets, and finances, builds and operates pipelines and gas storage facilities.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

QG is rated two notches below Kazakhstan under our GRE Rating Criteria. ICA's and QGA's ratings are equalized with that of QG.

MACROECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS AND SECTOR FORECASTS

[Click here](#) to access Fitch's latest quarterly Global Corporates Macro and Sector Forecasts data file which aggregates key data points used in our credit analysis. Fitch's macroeconomic forecasts, commodity price assumptions, default rate forecasts, sector key performance indicators and sector-level forecasts are among the data items included.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ↕	RATING ↕	RECOVERY ↕	PRIOR ↕	
Intergas Central Asia JSC	LT IDR		BB+ Rating Outlook Stable	
	BB+ Rating Outlook Stable			
	Affirmed			
	ST IDR	B	Affirmed	B
	LC LT IDR		BB+ Rating Outlook Stable	
	BB+ Rating Outlook Stable			
	Affirmed			
	Natl LT		AA- (kaz) Rating Outlook Stable	
	AA-(kaz) Rating Outlook Stable			
	Affirmed			

senior unsecured	LT	BB+	Affirmed	RR4	BB+
senior unsecured	Natl LT	AA-(kaz)	Affirmed		AA-(kaz)
QAZAQGAZ AIMAQ JSC	LT IDR				BB+ Rating Outlook Stable
			BB+ Rating Outlook Stable		
			Affirmed		
	ST IDR	B	Affirmed		B
	LC LT IDR				BB+ Rating Outlook Stable
			BB+ Rating Outlook Stable		
			Affirmed		
	Natl LT				AA- (kaz) Rating Outlook Stable
			AA-(kaz) Rating Outlook Stable		
			Affirmed		

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Jakub Zasada

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+48 22 103 3022

jakub.zasada@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce

Marszalkowska 107, 00-110 Warsaw

Mrudula Limaye

Senior Analyst

Secondary Rating Analyst

+44 20 3530 1118

mrudula.limaye@fitchratings.com

Angelina Valavina

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+44 20 3530 1314

angelina.valavina@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS**Tahmina Pinnington-Mannan**

London

+44 20 3530 1128

tahmina.pinnington-mannan@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com**PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA[National Scale Rating Criteria \(pub. 22 Dec 2020\)](#)[Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria \(pub. 16 Jun 2023\)](#)[Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria \(pub. 09 Jul 2024\)](#)[Corporate Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub. 02 Aug 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 Dec 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)[Sector Navigators – Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 Dec 2024\)](#)**APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Intergas Central Asia JSC	EU Issued, UK Endorsed
JSC National Company QazaqGaz	EU Issued, UK Endorsed
QAZAQGAZ AIMAQ JSC	EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers.

Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more

details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the

Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2025 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.